

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
:  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :  
:  
- v. - :  
:  
ANGELO NICOSIA, :  
:  
Defendant. :  
:  
-----X

S1 07 Cr. 907 (SAS)

**GOVERNMENT’S PROPOSED EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS**

MICHAEL J. GARCIA,  
United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York,  
Attorney for the United States  
of America.

ELIE HONIG  
LISA ZORNBERG  
Assistant United States Attorneys

- Of Counsel -

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	:	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	:	
	:	
- v. -	:	
	:	
ANGELO NICOSIA,	:	S1 07 Cr. 907 (SAS)
	:	
Defendant.	:	
-----X	:	

**GOVERNMENT’S PROPOSED  
EXAMINATION OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS**

The Government respectfully requests that the Court include the following questions in its examination of prospective jurors pursuant to Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The Court is requested to pursue more detailed questioning if a particular juror’s answer reveals that further inquiry is appropriate and, in such instance, to conclude with an inquiry as to whether the particular fact or circumstance would influence the juror in favor of, or against, either the Government or the defendant.

**A. Introduction**

This is a criminal case. The defendant, ANGELO NICOSIA, has been charged with the commission of federal crimes in an Indictment filed by a grand jury sitting in this district.

The Indictment is not evidence itself. It simply contains the charges that the Government is required to prove to the satisfaction of the trial jury beyond a reasonable doubt. Those of you selected to sit on this jury will receive a detailed explanation of the charges at the

conclusion of the case, but I would like to give you a brief summary of the charges so that we can determine whether there is anything about the charges that would make it impossible for you to sit as a fair and impartial juror.

The Indictment charges the commission of two crimes by the defendant, which I will now summarize for you.

Count One of the Indictment charges that, from in or about 2004, up to and including in or about February 2005, the defendant, ANGELO NICOSIA, conspired with others to commit extortion. Count Two charges NICOSIA with committing the substantive offense of extortion, during the same time period.

In general terms, the crime of extortion involves the act of taking, or trying to take the property of another person, with the other person's consent, but where the consent is compelled by the wrongful use of actual or threatened force, violence, or fear of injury or economic harm.

Here, the Counts One and Two relate to the same underlying events. Specifically, the Indictment charges that NICOSIA and others, through their alleged positions as members or associates of the Genovese Organized Crime Family of La Cosa Nostra and through other means, conspired to obtain money from the owner of a business in Manhattan by actual or threatened force, violence and fear, and that NICOSIA and others in fact did obtain money from the business owner by actual or threatened force, violence and fear.

Does any juror have any personal knowledge of the charges in the Indictment in this case? Has any juror read or heard anything about this case? If so, is there anything such a juror has read or heard that would cause him or her to feel that he or she cannot decide the fact

issues of this case fairly and impartially?

**B. Nature of the Charges**

During the trial, you will hear evidence regarding threats to use violence against a business owner and his family unless a certain amount money was paid.

Does the fact that the charges involve threats of violence or make it difficult for any of you to render a fair verdict?

Has any juror, member of the juror's family, or a juror's close friend, ever been the victim of extortion? Is there anything about that experience that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror in this case?

Has any juror, member of the juror's family, or a juror's close friend, ever been the victim of a crime of violence? Is there anything about that experience that would prevent you from being a fair and impartial juror in this case?

Do any of you have an opinion about the authority or right of the Government to enforce the laws prohibiting extortion, that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this type of case?

Do any of you feel, for any reason, that you could not view fairly and impartially a case involving the charges in this case?

**C. Knowledge of the Trial Participants**

Does any juror know, or has he or she had any dealings, directly or indirectly, with the defendant, ANGELO NICOSIA, or with any relative, friend or associate of the defendant?

The defendant ANGELO NICOSIA is represented by his attorney, Daniel Welsh, Esq. Do any of you know this lawyer, or his law firm, and have you had any dealings, either

directly or indirectly with him?

The Government is represented here, as in all cases where it is a party before the Court, by the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, who is Michael J Garcia. The conduct of the trial will be in the immediate charge of Assistant United States Attorneys Elie Honig and Lisa Zornberg. Do you know any of these individuals? Have you had any dealings either directly or indirectly with any of these individuals?

Does any juror know or has he or she had any dealings, either directly or indirectly, with any of the following individuals who may be called as witnesses or whose names may come up during the trial, and the following places which may be mentioned during trial?

[The Government will provide the Court with a list of its prospective witnesses and other names and places that may be mentioned during the trial. The Court also is requested to read the names of witnesses included on the Defendant's list, if any.]

**D. Relationship With Government**

Do any of you know, or have any association – professional, business, or social, direct or indirect – with any member of the staff of the United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York, or the FBI? Is any member of your family employed by any federal department or agency, any law enforcement agency, or any regulatory agency, whether federal, state or local?

Does any juror have any bias, prejudice or other feelings for or against the United States Attorney's Office, the FBI or any other law enforcement or regulatory agency?

Have you, or any family member, either as an individual or in the course of business, ever been a party to any legal action or dispute with the United States, any officer,

department, agency, or employee of the United States, or of the FBI, or had any interest in any such legal action or dispute or its verdict?

**E. Prior Jury Service**

Have you ever, at any time, served as a member of a grand jury, whether federal, state, county or city court?

Have you ever served as a juror in a trial in any court? If so, when and in what court did you serve and was it a civil or criminal case? Did the jury reach a verdict?

**F. Experience as a Witness, Defendant, or Crime Victim**

Has any juror or any relative or close friend ever been involved or appeared as a witness in any investigations by a federal or state grand jury or by a Congressional or state legislative committee, licensing authority, or governmental agency, or been questioned in any matter by a federal, state, or local law enforcement agency?

Have you ever been a witness or a complainant in any prosecution, state or federal?

Are you, or any family member, to your knowledge, now under subpoena or about to be subpoenaed in a criminal case?

Have you, any member of your family, business associate or close friend, ever been charged with a crime?

Has any juror or any relative, associate, or close friend ever been the subject of any investigation or accusation by any grand jury, federal or state, or by any Congressional committee?

Has any juror, or friend or relative of any juror, ever been a victim of a fraudulent scheme?

Has any juror, or friend or relative of any juror, ever been a victim of any crime?

**G. Witnesses and Investigative Techniques**

Law enforcement witnesses. The witnesses in this case will include at least one law enforcement officer, namely a special agent of the FBI. Would you be more likely to believe or disbelieve a witness merely because he or she is a law enforcement officer?

Cooperating Witnesses. You will hear testimony by and about the use of cooperating witnesses in this case; that is, you will hear testimony from one or more individuals who were involved in criminal conduct, and who subsequently pled guilty to their criminal conduct pursuant to what is called a “cooperation agreement” with the Government. I advise you that the use of cooperating witnesses is a legal and often necessary law enforcement tool. Do you have any general feeling about the Government’s use of cooperating witnesses that would make it difficult for you to render a wholly fair and impartial verdict? Would you have any bias for or against the Government because of evidence obtained in this manner?

Recorded Conversations. You will hear evidence in the form of tape recordings of conversations that were obtained without the knowledge of one of the parties to the conversations. The use of these procedures to gather evidence is perfectly lawful, and the Government is entitled to use the tape recordings in this case. Do you have any feelings about the use of recorded conversations that would make it difficult for you to render a fair and impartial verdict?

Persons not on trial. You might hear evidence in this trial of criminal activity committed by people other than the defendants. Those other individuals are not on trial here. You may not draw any inference, favorable or unfavorable, towards the Government or the trial defendants from that fact. You also may not speculate as to the reason why other persons are not

on trial. Is there any juror who cannot follow this instruction or who for this reason would have difficulty rendering a fair and impartial verdict?

In these questions, I have tried to direct your attention to possible reasons why you might not be able to sit as a fair and impartial juror. Apart from any prior questions, does any juror have the slightest doubt in his or her mind, for any reason whatsoever, that he or she will be able to serve conscientiously, fairly, and impartially in this case and to render a true and just verdict without fear, favor, sympathy, or prejudice, and according to the law as it will be explained?

**H. Organized Crime**

You may hear as part of the Government's case that the defendant and/or other participants in the charged crimes allegedly are members or associates of organized crime, which is sometimes known as "La Cosa Nostra" or the "Mafia," specifically the Genovese Organized Crime Family. Will the simple fact that the defendants are allegedly members or associates of organized crime make it difficult for you to fairly and impartially decide whether a defendant is guilty or not guilty in this case?

Do you (or any of your relatives or close friends) know anyone or have you ever had contact with anyone reputed to have ties to organized crime, or to the Genovese Organized Crime Family?

**I. Individual Questions for Each Juror**

The Government respectfully requests that the Court ask each juror to state the following information with respect to the juror's general background:

- (a) the juror's occupation and educational background;

- employer;
- (b) the name and general location of the juror's
  - (c) the period of employment with that employer;
  - (d) the nature of the juror's work;
  - (e) the same information concerning other employment within the last five years;
  - (f) the same information with respect to the juror's parents, spouse and any working children, and siblings;
  - (g) the area in which the juror resides and how long they have resided there (the Government respectfully requests that the jurors not be asked their exact address); and
  - (h) the newspapers and magazines the juror reads regularly.

In addition, if appropriate, the Government respectfully requests that each juror be individually polled regarding union membership; receipt of union benefits; and experience in or with the construction industry.

**J. General Questions for the Panel**

Does any juror have a problem with his or her hearing or vision which would prevent him or her from giving full attention to all of the evidence at this trial?

Is any juror taking any medication which would prevent him or her from giving full attention to all of the evidence at this trial?

Does any juror have any difficulty in reading or understanding English?

The trial in this case is expected to last approximately two weeks. Arrangements will be made for any holidays. Is there anything about the length of the trial that would make it an extreme hardship for you to serve as a juror?

**K. Function of the Court and Jury**

The function of the jury is to decide questions of fact. As a juror, you are the sole judge of the facts and nothing that the Court or the attorneys say or do may intrude in any way on your role as the exclusive fact finder. However, when it comes to the law, you are to take your instructions from the Court and you are bound by those instructions. You may not substitute your ideas of what the law is or what you may think the law should be. At the conclusion of this case, your job will be to determine whether or not the defendant is guilty as charged in the Indictment. Does any juror have any bias or prejudice that might prevent or hinder him or her from accepting the instructions of law that I will give you in this case?

Will each of you accept the proposition that the question of punishment is for the Court alone to decide and that the possible punishment must not enter into your deliberations as to whether the defendant on trial here is guilty?

Will each of you accept the proposition of law that sympathy must not enter into the deliberations of the jurors as to guilt or non-guilt of the defendant, and that only the evidence produced here in Court may be used by you to determine the guilt or non-guilt of the defendant?

It is not a particularly pleasant duty to find another individual guilty of committing a crime. Is there any juror who feels that even if the evidence established the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, he or she might not be able to render a guilty verdict for reasons unrelated to the law and the evidence?

Do any of you have any religious, philosophical or other beliefs which would make you unable to render a guilty verdict for reasons unrelated to the law and the evidence?

In these questions, I have tried to direct your attention to possible reasons why you might not be able to serve as a fair and impartial juror. Aside from the previous questions I have

asked, does any juror have the slightest doubts in his or her own mind, for any reason whatsoever, about his/her ability to conscientiously, fairly, and impartially serve in this case and to render a true and just verdict without fear, favor, sympathy, or prejudice, and according to the law as it would be explained to you?

**L. Requested Instruction**  
**Following Impanelling of the Jury**

From this point on until you retire to deliberate your judgment, it is your duty not to discuss this, and not to remain in the presence of other persons who may be discussing this case. This rule about not discussing the case with others includes discussions even with members of your family or friends. If at any time during the course of this trial, any person attempts to communicate with you, either verbally or in writing, about this case, whether it be in or out of this Courthouse, you should immediately report this attempt to me. In this regard, let me explain to you that attorneys in this case are not supposed to speak to you, even to offer a friendly greeting, and have been directed not to do so. So if you should see any of them outside

this Courtroom they will, and should, ignore you. Please do not think that they are being rude or discourteous. They will only be acting properly by doing so.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 26, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL J. GARCIA  
United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
ELIE HONIG  
LISA ZORNBERG  
Assistant United States Attorneys  
212-637-2474/2720

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

ELIE HONIG deposes and says that he is employed in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York.

That on June 26, 2008, he served a copy of the attached Government's Proposed Voir Dire by ECF and Fed Ex, on:

**Daniel Welsh, Esq.**  
551 Summit Avenue  
Jersey City, NJ 07306  
(201) 798-4100

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 28 U.S.C. Section 1746.

Dated: New York, New York  
June 26, 2008

---

ELIE HONIG